

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/956,912	09/21/2001	Osamu Kakinuma	212903US3	2653	
22850 7.	590 02/03/2003				
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE ST ALEXANDRIA				BOCHNA, DAVID	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3679		
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/956,912	KAKINUMA, OSAMU
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		David E. Bochna	3679
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE N - Exten after i - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re earne	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SiX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	D 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	No. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 181		
2a)⊠	,—	nis action is non-final.	
3)[]	Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims		
•	Claim(s) 19-28 is/are pending in the application	าก	
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WITH CONCIDENTATION.	
•	Claim(s) 19-22 and 24-27 is/are rejected.		
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) 23 and 28 is/are objected to.		
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement	
, —	on Papers	r election requirement.	
	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
	rhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		aminer.
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to th		
11) 🗀 🖯	The proposed drawing correction filed on		
,_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		•
12) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
-	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
,-	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		tion No.
	Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International But ee the attached detailed Office action for a list.	rity documents have been receiv reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	·	
a	☐ The translation of the foreign language process	ovisional application has been re	ceived.
Attachment	•		
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
C Potent and Te	adamada Offica		***

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EPO 0,036,984.

In regard to claim 19, EPO 0,036,984 discloses a branch pipe joint comprising:

A branch pipe joint body 10 having a hollow closed shape;

A plurality of communication ports 15, 16 provided in the branch pipe joint body, the communication ports having the same inner diameter as each other;

a plurality of connecting pipes 28-30 through which refrigerant can separately flow, each of the connecting pipes having one end portion 24 firmly fixed (by threads) to the communication port in a state of being fitted to the communication port and another end portion 28-30 firmly fixed to one of the plurality of refrigerant pipes having different outer diameters of the multi-unit type air conditioner, the firmly fixing operation being performable at an installation site of the multi-unit type air conditioner in which another end portion is fitted to the one of the refrigerant pipes; and

a common communication port 18 through which the refrigerant can flow in a confluent state, the common communication port being provided in branch pipe joint body;

wherein the plurality of connecting pipes 28-30 each has one end portion 24 fitted to one

Art Unit: 3679

of the communication ports 14-16 and another end portion fitted to one of the refrigerant pipes having different outer diameters, each of the one end portions having the same outer diameter, while at least some of the another end portions have inner diameters different from each other (30 is different form 28 and 29).

3. Claims 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EPO Patent 0,036,984 in view of Worthen et al.. In regard to claims 20-21, EPO Patent 0,036,984 discloses a branch pipe joint as described above. However, EPO Patent 0,036,984 does not disclose that the connecting pipes and pipes are welded or brazed to the branch pipe. Worthen et al. teaches welding or brazing the connecting pipes 11 and pipes 12 (see column 3, lines 52-56) to a branch pipe 6 in order to create a permanent, leak free connection. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the connections of EPO Patent 0,036,984 to include welded or brazed joints, as taught by Worthen et al., in order to create permanent, leak free connections between the pipe joint members.

In regard to claim 22, Worthen et al. also teaches providing the pipe joint body with a Y-shape having two branched portions 30 and one root portion 10 to reduce the costs and difficulties in assembling traditional branched connections. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the connecting pipes of EPO Patent 0,036,984 to include a Y-pipe, as taught by Worthen et al., so that assembly could be achieved more easily and at a cheaper cost.

Art Unit: 3679

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EPO Patent 0,036,984.

In regard to claim 24, EPO Patent 0,036,984 discloses a method of connecting refrigerant pipes of a multi-unit type air conditioner, the method comprising the steps of:

preparing a branch pipe joint body 10 having a hollow shape and a plurality of communication ports 14-16 each having the same inner diameter;

selecting connecting pipes 28-30 from a group of connecting pipes at an installation site of the multi-unit type air conditioner, each of the selected connecting pipes having one end portion 24 having an outer diameter enabling the one end portion to be fitted to the communication ports 14-16 and another end portion having an inner diameter enabling the another end portion 28-30 to be fitted to one of the plurality of the refrigerant pipes having different outer diameters, said group of connecting pipes and branch pipe joint body having been packed in one package; and

connecting the branch pipe joint body to the refrigerant pipes through the selected connecting pipes using firm fixing (threads). However, EPO Patent 0,036,984 does not disclose that the number of connecting pipes in the group of the connecting pipes is larger than the number of the communication ports, and is set such that a total number of the connecting pipes

Application/Control Number: 09/956,912

Art Unit: 3679

having a minimum inner diameter and a maximum inner diameter is smaller than a number of the connecting pipes having an inner diameters other than the minimum and maximum inner diameters. Worton teaches providing a connecting pipe adapter kit (see fig. 1) where the number of connecting pipes in the group of the connecting pipes (58, 60, 62, 64, 66, 68) is larger than the number of the communication ports 20, and is set such that a total number of the connecting pipes having a minimum inner diameter and a maximum inner diameter is smaller (66, 68) than a number of the connecting pipes having an inner diameters other than the minimum and maximum inner diameters (58, 60, 62, 64, 66, 68) so that the pipe joint 20 would be connectable to a plurality of pipe ends. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the connecting pipes of EPO Patent 0,036,984 to include more connecting pipes than communication ports, as taught by Worton, so

Page 5

6. Claims 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EPO Patent 0,036,984 in view of Worton and further in view Worthen et al.. In regard to claims 25-26, EPO Patent 0,036,984 in view of Worton discloses a branch pipe joint as described above. However, EPO Patent 0,036,984 in view of Worton does not disclose that the connecting pipes and pipes are welded or brazed to the branch pipe. Worthen et al. teaches welding or brazing the connecting pipes 11 and pipes 12 (see column 3, lines 52-56) to a branch pipe 6 in order to create a permanent, leak free connection. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the connections of EPO Patent 0,036,984 to include welded or brazed joints, as taught by Worthen et al., in order to create permanent, leak free connections between the pipe joint members.

that the communication ports could be coupled with many different sized pipe ends.

Art Unit: 3679

In regard to claim 27, Worthen et al. also teaches providing the pipe joint body with a Y-shape having two branched portions 30 and one root portion 10 to reduce the costs and difficulties in assembling traditional branched connections. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the connecting pipes of EPO Patent 0,036,984 to include a Y-pipe, as taught by Worthen et al., so that assembly could be achieved more easily and at a cheaper cost.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 23 and 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 11/18/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that present application overcomes the prior art of EPO Patent 0,036,984 because EPO Patent 0,036,984 does not disclose that the connecting pipes are "firmly fixed" in the manner of the present application, and that the manner in which the connecting pipes of EPO Patent 0,036,984 are connected has drawbacks that the connection process of the present application avoids. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., connected through non-rotation) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the

Art Unit: 3679

claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Aikawa et al., Shiroyama et al., Lorber, Ringel et al., all disclose similar couplings common in the art.

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Bochna whose telephone number is (703) 306-9040. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30 Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/956,912

Art Unit: 3679

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne H. Browne can be reached on (703) 308-1159. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

David Bochna January 27, 2003

Lynne H. Browne
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600